

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Harold Runnels Building 1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505) P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469 Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160 www.env.nm.gov



BUTCH TONGATE Cabinet Secretary

J. C. BORREGO Deputy Secretary

Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

October 19, 2017

The Honorable Hilda Kellar, Mayor Village of Reserve P.O. Box 587 Reserve, New Mexico 87830

Re: Minor Municipal; SIC 4952; NPDES Compliance Evaluation; Village of Reserve Waste Water Treatment Plant; NM0024163; September 21, 2017

Dear Mayor Kellar:

Enclosed please find a copy of the report and check list for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and advised to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. If you have comments on or concerns with the basis for the findings in the NMED inspection report, please contact us (see the address below) in writing within 30 days from the date of this letter. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing both the USEPA and NMED regarding modifications and compliance schedules at the addresses below:

NPDES Enforcement Coordinator Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 NPDES Enforcement Branch (6EN-WM) 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, Texas 75202-2733 Program Manager New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau (N2050) Point Source Regulation Section P.O. Box 5469 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502 Village of Reserve Waste Water Treatment Plant; NM0024163 October 19, 2017 Page 2 of 2

David Long (Long.David@epa.gov) is USEPA Region 6's Acting NPDES Enforcement Coordinator at the above address. If you have any questions about this inspection report, please contact Jennifer Foote at 505-827-0596 or at Jennifer.foote@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

/s/ Sarah Holcomb

Sarah Holcomb Program Manager Point Source Regulation Section Surface Water Quality Bureau

cc: Carol Peters-Wagnon, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail David Long, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail Nancy Williams, USEPA (6EN-WC) by e-mail Amy Andrews, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail David Esparza, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail John Rhoderick, NMED District I by e-mail Bret Sellars, Village of Reserve by e-mail

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85



NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

M I N O R M U N I C I P A L W W T P				
Section B: Facility Data				
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) VILLAGE OF RESERVE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT: 8:15am Permit Effective Date 9/21/17 September 1, 2013				
FROM NM 12, TRAVEL SOUTH ON NM 435, TURN EAST ON PLANT STREET TO "T" INTERSECTION, TRAVEL SOUTHEAST ON UNSIGNED STREET (SEWER PLANT ROAD). CATRON COUNTY Exit Time/Date 9/21/17 10:15am August 31, 2018				
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) MR. BRET SELLARS, LEAD OPERATOR, (575) 533-6276 / (575) 533-6581 MR. FURLON RICHEY, OPERATOR GPS:				
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Hilda Kellar, MAYOR P.O. BOX 587 RESERVE, NM 87830 (575) 533-6276 No x				
Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)				
S Permit M Flow Measurement S Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO				
M Records/Reports S Self-Monitoring Program U Sludge Handling/Disposal N Pollution Prevention				
S Facility Site Review N Compliance Schedules N Pretreatment N Multimedia				
S Effluent/Receiving Waters S Laboratory N Storm Water N Other:				
Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary) See attached sheets for further details.				
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax Date				
Jennifer Foote /s/ Jennifer Foote NMED/SWQB 505-827-0596 10/19/17				
Signature of Management QA Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers NMED/SWQB 505-827-2798 Date NMED/SWQB 505-827-2798 10/19/17				

SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION				
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS □ S □ M □ U □ NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED No) DETAILS: Permit expires Aug 31, 2018, reapplication is due 6 months prior.				
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES	\square Y \square N \boxtimes NA			
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			
SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION				
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT. \square S \boxtimes M \square U \square NA (Further EDETAILS:	EXPLANATION ATTACHED <u>Yes</u>)			
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs. Units for Cl do not match	\square Y \boxtimes N \square NA			
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE.	\boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA			
a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			
b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			
c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			
d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			
e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			
f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE. Scales calibrated Feb 2017	\boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA			
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR	\boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA			
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE				
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. \boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED Yes) DETAILS:				
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED.	\boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA			
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED.	\boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA			
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED.	\boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA			
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE. Manual system	\boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA			
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE.	\boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA			
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED. Backup operator will be testing for level 1 in Spring	\square S \boxtimes M \square U \square NA			
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED.	\square S \boxtimes M \square U \square NA			
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA \boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			
PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA			

9/21	/1	$\overline{}$
9//1	/	
// //	/ 1	•

SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)	
9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS?	□ Y ⋈ N □ NA □ Y □ N ⋈ NA □ Y □ N ⋈ NA
10.HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT?	$\begin{array}{c c} \square \ Y \ \boxtimes \ N & \square \ NA \\ \hline \square \ Y \ \square \ N & \boxtimes \ NA \end{array}$
SECTION D - SELF-MONITORING	
PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. DETAILS: S D M D U D NA (FURTHER EXPLANA)	ATION ATTACHED <u>no</u>).
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT.	\square Y \square N \boxtimes NA
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA
a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING.	\square Y \square N \boxtimes NA
b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED.	\square Y \square N \boxtimes NA
c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136.3.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA
7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT?	\square Y \square N \boxtimes NA
SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. □ S ⋈ M □ U □ NA (FURTHER EXPLANATE DETAILS:	TION ATTACHED <u>Yes</u>)
PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. TYPE OF DEVICE <u>Mechanical</u>	⊠Y□N □NA
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. (DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION June 2015) RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE.	 □ Y ⋈ N □ NA □ Y ⋈ N □ NA □ Y ⋈ N □ NA
5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE.	□ y □ N ⋈ NA
6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION.	□ Y □ N ⊠ NA
7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES. SECTION F – LABORATORY	⊠ Y □ N □ NA
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. DETAILS: TRC and pH performed at facility	ION ATTACHED <u>No</u>)
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES)	\boxtimes Y \square N \square NA

Reserve	9/21/17	PERMIT NO. NM000024163
---------	---------	------------------------

SECTION F - LAI	BORATORY (CONT	'D)					
2. IF ALTERNATIVE	2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$					⊠ NA	
3. SATISFACTORY O	CALIBRATION AND MA	AINTENANCE OF INST	RUMENTS AND EQUIP	MENT.		\boxtimes S \square M \square U	□ NA
4. QUALITY CONTR	OL PROCEDURES ADE	EQUATE.				$\boxtimes S \square M \square U$	□ NA
5. DUPLICATE SAM	PLES ARE ANALYZED	% OF THE TIME.				\boxtimes Y \square N	□ NA
6. SPIKED SAMPLES	S ARE ANALYZED	% OF THE TIME.				\boxtimes Y \square N	□ NA
7. COMMERCIAL LA	ABORATORY USED.					\boxtimes Y \square N	□ NA
Hall Environmental (7 4901 Hawkins NE / Al	TSS, BOD, ecoli) lbuquerque, NM 87109						
SECTION G - EFI	FLUENT/RECEIVIN	IG WATERS OBSER	RVATIONS.	S □ M □ U □ NA	(FURTHER EXPLANATION	ATTACHED <u>No</u>).	
OUTFALL NO.	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOAT SOL.	COLOR	OTHER
001	none	none	none	none	none	none	
RECEIVING WATER OBSERVATIONS							
SECTION H - SL	UDGE DISPOSAL						
SLUDGE DISPOSAL MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. □ S □ M ☑ U □ NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED Yes). DETAILS: No records available.							
SLUDGE MANAGEMENT ADEQUATE TO MAINTAIN EFFLUENT QUALITY. S□ M □ U □ NA							
2. SLUDGE RECORDS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY 40 CFR 503. □ S □ M ⊠ U □ NA							
3. FOR LAND APPLIED SLUDGE, TYPE OF LAND APPLIED TO: Public Contact (e.g., FOREST, AGRICULTURAL, PUBLIC CONTACT SITE)							
SECTION I - SAMPLING INSPECTION PROCEDURES (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED).							
1. SAMPLES OBTAINED THIS INSPECTION.					\square Y \square N	⊠ NA	
2. TYPE OF SAMPLE OBTAINED							
GRAB COMPOSITE SAMPLE METHOD FREQUENCY							
3. SAMPLES PRESI	ERVED.					\square Y \square N	⊠ NA
4. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED. ☐ Y ☐ N ⋈ NA					⊠ NA		
5. SAMPLE OBTAINED FROM FACILITY'S SAMPLING DEVICE.					⊠ NA		
6. SAMPLE REPRESENTATIVE OF VOLUME AND MATURE OF DISCHARGE. ☐ Y ☐ N ☒ NA					⊠ NA		
7. SAMPLE SPLIT WITH PERMITTEE.						\square Y \square N	⊠ NA
8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES EMPLOYED. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$				⊠ NA			
9. SAMPLES COLLECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMIT.					□Y□N	⊠ NA	

Further Explanations Village of Reserve Wastewater Treatment Plant NPDES Permit No. NM0024163 Inspection Date: September 21, 2017

INTRODUCTION:

On September 21, 2017, Jennifer Foote of the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), Surface Water Quality Bureau (SWQB) conducted a Compliance Evaluation Inspection at the Village of Reserve Wastewater Treatment Plant in Catron County, New Mexico. The WWTP has a design flow capacity of 0.075 million gallons per day (MGD) and is classified as a minor municipal discharger under the federal Clean Water Act, Section 402, of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. It is assigned NPDES permit number NM0024163 which regulates discharge from outfall 001 to Christensen Arroyo and thence to the San Francisco River in Water Quality Segment 20.6.4.601 of the New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC). This segment includes the designated uses of irrigation, marginal warmwater and marginal coldwater aquatic life, livestock watering, wildlife habitat and primary contact.

The NMED performs a certain number of inspections for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Region VI, under the NPDES permit program, in accordance with the federal Clean Water Act. USEPA uses these inspections to determine compliance with the NPDES permit program. This inspection report is based on information provided by the permittee's representatives, observations made by NMED staff, and records and reports kept by the permittee and/or NMED.

INSPECTION DETAILS:

Upon arrival at the facility, the inspector made introductions, stated the purpose of the inspection and presented her credentials to Mr. Bret Sellars, Wastewater Treatment Plant Supervisor for the Village. They toured the facility and reviewed paperwork in the office. At the end of the tour, the inspector conducted an exit interview on site to discuss preliminary findings of the inspection.

TREATMENT SCHEME:

The Village of Reserve's wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) was originally constructed in the 1970's. The current anoxic basin, two cell aeration basin and secondary clarifiers were constructed in 2003. The Village has a population of approximately 400 residents. In addition, the Village has adopted a grease trap ordinance for local restaurants.

The WWTP's collection system has 2 lift stations. A 140-gallon diesel generator at the plant can be used for backup power. The headworks of the plant consist of a manually cleaned bar screen and 3" Parshall flume for measuring influent flow. The lift station at the headworks has an alarm (light) for power outages. After the headworks, a pump lifts wastewater to an anoxic tank. Flow can then be diverted to one of two aeration basins consisting of two concrete tanks with diffused aeration from one of three blowers on-site. One of the two aeration basins is used as an additional anoxic basin. After the aeration basin, wastewater flows by gravity to a splitter box where it can be directed to one of two secondary clarifiers. Return activated sludge (RAS) can be sent back to the aeration basin and flow from the secondary clarifiers can be recycled back to the anoxic basin. Wastewater leaves the clarifier by flowing over weirs into an inner trough leading to a wet well where chlorine gas is injected. One hundred fifty (150) pound gas chlorine cylinders and automatic switchover unit is stored in a chlorine room at the plant office. After the wet well, wastewater enters a dual chamber serpentine chlorine contact chamber. Each chlorine contact chamber has a sodium sulfite tablet de-chlorination unit.

After the de-chlorination unit, effluent enters an open basin and channel before flow measurement. The weir has been replaced with a mechanical meter.

Outfall 001 is located outside the plant fence. Effluent from the outfall enters Christensen Arroyo, then a pipe that passes under an irrigation ditch and then the San Francisco River.

SLUDGE:

Waste activated sludge (WAS) from the secondary clarifier is dewatered in four sludge sand filter drying beds in a low area of the facility. Under drains collect water from the drying beds. Filtrate is pumped back to the headworks to be retreated. Dry sludge is moved from the beds to the plant's concrete dry sludge storage pad. Once the sludge is adequately dried and tested, it is given away for land application uses, mostly for pasture.

FINDINGS:

Section B – Recordkeeping and Reporting Evaluation – Overall Rating of "Marginal". Permit Requirements:

Part III.D.9 (Other Information):

Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Director, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

40CFR122.41 (i) requires: Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) or forms provided or specified by the Director for reporting results of monitoring of sludge use or disposal practices. As of December 21, 2016 all reports and forms submitted in compliance with this section must be submitted electronically by the permittee to the Director or initial recipient, as defined in 40 CFR 127.2(b), in compliance with this section and 40 CFR part 3 (including, in all cases, subpart D to part 3), § 122.22, and 40 CFR part 127 The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule: requires the electronic reporting and sharing of Clean Water Act National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program information.

Findings for Recordkeeping and Reporting:

- Bench sheets and instrument measures in mg/L for TRC, DMR form is in ug/L and values were not converted. *Mr. Sellars sent an email on 9/25/17 stating that he had resubmitted the DMRs.*
- The facility currently does not have computer equipment that will allow them to electronically submit DMRs.
 They stated they had submitted a request for a temporary waiver to EPA, but documentation was not available.

Section C - Operations and Maintenance – Overall Rating of "Satisfactory" Permit Requirements:

b. The permittee shall provide an adequate operating staff which is duly qualified to carry out operation, maintenance and testing functions required to insure compliance with the conditions of this permit.

Observations for Operations and Maintenance:

The facility had operation and maintenance manuals and standard operating procedures available. They have instituted a regular program of root removal to prevent overflows in the collection system. The previously out of service clarifier had been repaired and the second clarifier was scheduled to be maintained the week after the inspection. Grants have been obtained for an automated fine screen and influent meter at the headworks, as well as installation of a new UV contact chamber to replace the chlorine gas.

Findings for Operations and Maintenance:

• Facility currently has only one certified operator, a backup operator will be testing for level one operator certification in spring. It is important that the city continue to work towards having adequate backup staff.

Section E – Flow Measurement: "Marginal" Permit Requirements:

Part III.C.5 (Monitoring Procedures) of the permit stipulates:

b. The permittee shall calibrate and perform maintenance procedures on all monitoring and analytical instruments at intervals frequent enough to insure accuracy of measurements and shall maintain appropriate records of such activities.

Part III.C.6 (Flow Measurements) of the permit states:

Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of measurements of the volume of monitored discharges. The devices shall be installed, calibrated, and maintained to insure that the accuracy of the measurements is consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. Devices selected shall be capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than 10% from true discharge rates throughout the range of expected discharge volumes.

Part III.C.6 (Flow Measurements) of the permit states:

Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of measurements of the volume of monitored discharges. The devices shall be installed, calibrated, and maintained to insure that the accuracy of the

measurements is consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. Devices selected shall be capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than 10% from true discharge rates throughout the range of expected discharge volumes.

Findings for Flow Measurement:

- The influent flow meter was last calibrated June 2015. USEPA's NPDES Inspection Manual, Chapter 6 states, "The facility must ensure that their flow measurement systems are calibrated by a qualified source at least once a year to ensure their accuracy." Mr. Sellars sent an email on 9/25/17 stating that he had scheduled for the influent flow meter to be calibrated in the next month.
- The effluent flow meter was replaced with a mechanical meter after the ultrasonic sensor was found to be malfunctioning. No procedure for calibration of the mechanical meter was available, the meter screen is cleaned once a week. Flow measurement accuracy is important because this information is used to calculate mass loading calculations. Simple checks, like using, there is a Parshall flume in the canal where the treated effluent is discharged. The flume (if properly installed and calibrated) could also be used to periodically check the validity and accuracy of the Mechanical flow meter. USEPA's NPDES Inspection Manual, Chapter 6 states, "The facility must ensure that their flow measurement systems are calibrated by a qualified source at least once a year [emphasis added] to ensure their accuracy."

Section H – Sludge Disposal – Overall Rating "Unsatisfactory" Permit requirements:

Part IV (Minor – Sewage Sludge Requirements *Element 1, Section 1. C. 5.* Recordkeeping Requirements - The sludge documents will be retained on site at the same location as other NPDES records.

Findings for Sludge Disposal:

• Sludge is given away for land application mostly for pasture. Mr. Sellars stated that they had the sludge tested by Hall Environmental for the required constituents. The required records were not available at the time of the inspection.

NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 1		
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 9/21/17	Time: 8:40am
City/County: Reserve, Catron Co.		State: New Mexico
Location: Reserve WWTP		
Subject: New mechanical effluent flow met	er	



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 2			
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 9/21/17	Time: 8:32am	
City/County: Reserve, Catron Co.		State: New Mexico	
Location: Reserve WWTP			
Subject: Clarifier			



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo #1		
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 9/21/17	Time: 8:47am
City/County: Reserve, Catron Co.		State: New Mexico
Location: Reserve WWTP		
Subject: outfall at Christensen Arroyo		

